

# Exhibit 6



SKATTEMINISTERIET

**Audit Report**

Department Manager  
Peter Loft

Internal Audit  
Copenhagen Audit Center

internrevision@skat.dk  
May 10, 2010

J.no. 09-172022  
Plan no.: 09-203  
Finance Year: 2009

**Investigation of the proceeds from withholding tax on  
foreign shareholders - dividend tax****1. Introduction***Background of the investigation*

The Ministry of Taxation, in connection with an attempt to calculate the proceeds from the withholding tax on foreign shareholders' dividends from Danish limited companies and investment funds, has encountered the problem that it is possible that too much withholding tax is refunded via the so-called refund scheme.

Approximately 75,000 Danish companies distribute dividends each year and the annual distribution has been up to approximately 300 billion according to received declarations.

On October 21, 2009, Internal Audit received a request from the Department Manager for an investigatory audit of the refund scheme.

*Briefly about dividend tax*

When a company distributes dividends, dividend tax must be treated in accordance with the rules in sections 65-67 of the Withholding Tax Act (*Kildesskatteloven*).

According to section 66, paragraph 2 of the Withholding Tax Act, companies that approve the dividend distribution must provide information on the approval (declaration). The notice must be filed no later than the month following said approval for dividend distribution, concurrent with the expiration of the company's deadline for reporting withheld taxable income and employment tax.

According to section 9B, paragraphs 1-2 and section 10A of the Tax Control Act (*skattekontrollloven*), companies and funds, etc., who are obligated to withhold dividend tax in accordance with section 65 of the Withholding Tax Act, must report dividend recipients each year for the companies that distributed dividends in the preceding calendar year.

VP (the Danish Central Securities Depository (*Værdipapircentralen*)), administers the dividend tax and reduction in cases where a Danish listed company distributes dividends to the shareholders. The information received from VP provides the basis of the company's report. VP forwards an electronic report to SKAT on 20 January, the year following the distribution year.

Unlisted companies declare and self-report dividend distributions to SKAT. Dividends must be reported no later than the tenth of month following its approval and distribution and reported to SKAT on 20 January, the year following the distribution year.

When the recipient of the dividend fall under section 2, paragraph 1 of the Withholding Tax Act (Limited Tax Liability), he can apply for a tax refund to reach the tax rate specified in Double Taxation Agreement.

## **2. Audit Area**

The investigation has included a review of how the calculation of the net proceeds from the withholding tax from foreign shareholders is supported by relevant laws, systems and data and statistical material.

The investigation was carried out between October 2009 and April 2010.

## **3. Scope**

The investigation has included dividends distributed by companies and investment funds in Denmark, as covered by section 16A of the Tax Assessment Act (*Ligningsloven*).

Content-free distribution to foreign parent companies is not included in this investigation.

The investigation has also not included concealed dividends, for example in connection with goods/housing, etc.

## **4. Audit Goals**

The goal with the audit investigation has been to investigate whether:

- Accurate net proceeds for the refund scheme can be calculated from the dividend reports submitted to SKAT;
- SKAT lacks reporting/information to be able to calculate accurate net proceeds from the refund scheme;
- The business processes for collecting dividend tax are documented and known; and
- Accounting and IT systems adequately support the accurate calculation of net proceeds from the refund scheme.

## 5. Assessment Criteria

The investigation included the following assessment criteria:

- Legislative Decree 2009-03-11, No. 176 on the imposition of income tax the State (Tax Assessment Act);
- Legislative Decree 2005-11-14, No. 1086 on the Withholding Tax Act, Order 2009-12-11, No. 1247 on the Withholding Tax Act (Withholding Tax Notice);
- Legislative Decree 2009-10-26, No. 1001 on imposition of income tax on public limited companies etc., (Corporation Tax Act) (*selskabsskatteloven*);
- Legislative Decree 2005-11-24, No. 1126 on the Tax Supervision Act (*Skattekontolloven*), Double Taxation Agreements; and
- Circular No. 63 of 1993-04-28 on the reduction of dividend tax concerning Double Taxation Agreements (VP scheme).

## 6. Audit Method

The audit was carried out by means of meetings and interviews with the Ministry of Taxation Legal Department (*Skatteministeriet Jura*), and Socio-Economic Department (*Samfundsøkonomi*), the then-existing Main Center, Process and Digitalization (*Hovedcenter, Proces & Digitalisering*) now Citizen and Business (*Borger og Virksomhed*) and Midtjylland, Accounting.

Furthermore, there was a collection, review, and assessment of relevant materials such as internal descriptions, guidelines and business procedures.

The investigation has been carried out by Jens Lundgaard, Tina Laustsen, Linda Severinsen and Ro Daugaard.

## 7. Observations

### 7.1 *Organization and responsibilities for the task*

Several process owners share the responsibility for SKAT's process of handling dividend taxation. No one has the overall responsibility for the entire process.

On October 1, 2006, a strategic center was established in the Ballerup Tax Center (*Skattecenter Ballerup*) for dividend and royalty tax. On January 1, 2009, the strategic center was organizationally relocated to Central Jutland, Accounting (*Midtjylland, Regnskab*), in a department called Accounting 2 (*Regnskab 2*).

According to the internal communication of November 21, 2006 (in effect until November 2, 2009), the duties of the strategic center are to key-in reporting and declaration forms; to designate reminder procedures regarding non-reporting and declaration; to process refund applications; to process attestation of tax liability for Danes with foreign shares; to conduct dividend accounting functions as well as control; and to account for payments and declarations, etc.

Accounting 2 has informed that it is not within their operating area to carry out actual checks on dividend reporting. Accounting 2 only checks that the dividend has been declared for each stated company. Initiatives (*Indsats*) carry out all other checks concerning dividend taxation.

Internal Audit has received a process description for dividend tax. Internal Audit has updated this in collaboration with Accounting 2 (see Appendix 1).

Citizen and Business (*Borger og Virksomhed*) is the process owner for the dividend declarations and the mandatory reports on dividend recipients. The process owner for dividend declarations has long been identified, but it was not until the end of 2009 that a process owner was identified for dividend tax reporting. The reason for this is that there was no focus on this area in the past.

## 7.2 Reporting and declaration of dividend tax

Up to 150,000 forms are received each year, which are manually entered into the systems. TastSelv was introduced on January 1, 2004, and its use has only slowly increased. Currently around 20% of the companies use TastSelv.

The distributing company must state and pay dividend tax in the month following the approval of dividends, while reporting of dividend recipients must be made no later than January 20 of the following year, cf., Tax Supervision Act, section 9.

### 7.2.1 Listed companies

Listed companies/investment funds report dividends based on reconciliation lists from VP. VP reports dividend recipients electronically on behalf of the account-holding financial institution.

SKAT has an agreement with VP and the account-holding financial institutions that Danish listed shares in custody accounts in Denmark, owned by taxable persons in twelve countries, may have the relevant dividend tax withheld after double taxation (net settlement). This scheme is called the VP scheme.

The scheme ensures that dividends to foreign shareholders are only subject to dividend tax at the rate at which the dividend can be taxed in Denmark under the relevant Double Taxation Agreement. Thus, a refund case does not arise.

Prerequisites for participating in the VP scheme is that: both shareholder and custodian have decided to participate in the scheme; that the dividend yielding shares are deposited in a custodian account at a Danish financial institution in the owner's own name; that the owner submits documentation to the financial institution about his residence and tax circumstances; and that the documentation is renewed every five years or upon moving to another country.

Foreign financial institutions without an independent account in VP cooperate with a (Danish) financial institution that has an account in VP in order to handle Danish shares/investment certificates. The foreign financial institution creates an account with a corresponding custodian in a Danish financial institution. The

foreign financial institution then places the Danish shares/investment certificates, owned by the foreign financial institution's clients, in the foreign financial institution's own name in this custodian account. This account is called an omnibus account (see Appendix 2 -description of omnibus account).

Foreign financial institutions appear as the owners of the Omnibus accounts and these can therefore not be included in the VP scheme. This means that dividend tax must be withheld according to the general rule, 28%.

In addition, a number of Danish investment companies and other large investors have placed the administration of Danish shares/investment certificates in US and other foreign banks. In this case, this is not a real omnibus account, but a nominee account, which according to the information only contains securities belonging to an investor with a known Danish tax status. However, since the foreign financial institution appears to own the account, it cannot be included in the VP scheme. Therefore, a 28% dividend tax must be withheld, which investors must apply to have refunded.

#### *7.2.2 Unlisted companies*

Unlisted companies declare and report dividends to shareholders themselves by submitting dividend reports to SKAT or using TastSelv. The reporting of dividend recipients is often made at the same time as the declaration, although the reporting of dividend recipients must not be made until 20 January of the following year, cf. Tax Supervision Act section 9.

VP has expanded its business area to also manage unlisted companies. From 2010, VP will also report dividend recipients for these companies.

#### *7.2.3 Subsequent corrections*

From its cooperation with the banks, Accounting 2 has received information showing that the accounting institutions make corrections of errors to the individual dividend recipient reports. The distributing company is not made aware of these corrections, as the accounting institution does not forward this information. Therefore, the distributing company does not have the opportunity to submit a corrected declaration. Accounting 2 has stated that it has no knowledge of corrections and has not received corrected declarations.

Accounting institutions correct the errors via corrected reporting. Accounting 2 has informed that if an account holding institution corrects the basis for the distribution after distribution, SKAT is usually not informed and the settlement is not changed. This means that in this situation there is a difference between the declared and the reported. If the accounting institution detects and corrects errors, it is of no financial importance to the distributing company and to SKAT.

When the accounting institution corrects errors, too little or too much dividend tax is withheld. This amount is debited/credited to the bank customer's account and paid to SKAT via the accounting scheme. As a result, the settlement of accounts between the customer and SKAT will be accurate.

The distributing company, which has calculated dividend tax based on VP's information, has not been informed of the accounting institution's correction. Adjustments of dividend tax between the investor and SKAT occur outside the distributing company. Consequently, the distributing company's settlement of dividends and withheld dividend tax is not affected, since the dividend company's dividend before settlement of dividend tax remains the same. The result is only that the declaration of the distributing company does not match the reporting.

#### *7.2.4 Reconciliations between declaration and reporting*

There are no automatic reconciliations in SKAT's systems between declarations and reports. No manual reconciliations occur either.

Annually, there is an adjustment based upon the correlation between the tax return of the distributing company's self-reporting item 37 (distribution of dividends according to accounting) and the submitted dividend declarations. Accounting 2 informs if the company's dividend declaration is missing. If there is a discrepancy, nothing is done.

For the 2008 dividend undertaking, the starting difference was DKK 34 billion, the majority of which, according to Accounting 2, is probably daughter/parent companies' distributions and incorrect income year in TastSelv.

Accounting 2 has stated that reminders are sent to the companies that have not reported dividends.

The distributing company reports dividend tax in the month following the approval of the dividend, while reporting of dividend recipients must be made by January 20 of the following year (see Appendix 3, timeline of dividend tax). This means that there is a large time lag between the receipts of various information, which means that any reconciliation between the stated and the reported can only take place up to one year after the receipt of the declaration. Accounting 2 and Citizen and Business have both discussed and approved the prepared timeline.

In April 2010, Accounting 2 provided a reconciliation between VP's information to the distributing companies and the reporting to SKAT regarding the C20 index's information on the dividend distributed in 2009 (the twenty most traded shares on the Copenhagen Stock Exchange). Nine of the C20 index companies have distributed and are included in the reconciliation.

The reconciliation was conducted on the basis of the dividends specified in 3S with reduced dividend tax according to the double taxation agreement and the ongoing reports from VP.

The reconciliation for the nine C20 companies shows that one company is accurate and eight companies are not accurate.

It appears that three companies were without notification of the dividend received through the VP scheme despite of the three companies having announced distributions to shareholders in the VP scheme of DKK 5,202,583.

Accounting 2 has stated that the other five companies show minor differences, which must be attributed to changes in the figures from the time the reconciliation list were prepared and the shareholder reporting occurred, which are included the figure.

Overall, it is not possible to investigate the differences, as there is no audit trail of what account-holding financial institution held the share in custody.

Citizen and Business is of the opinion that it is possible to make selections across the systems so that an approximate reconciliation can take place.

It is the opinion of Accounting 2 that the reconciliation between declaration and reporting must be done by “reversing the process,” so that declaration and reporting are done simultaneously (in advance of the reporting requirement). This allows for automatic reconciliation. Furthermore, VP/financial institutions must refrain from correcting when accounting (reconciliation lists) are prepared. Any subsequent corrections must be made in cooperation with SKAT.

An increase in the duty to report requires that the Minister of Taxation to use his authority in the Tax Control Act section 9A paragraph 5 to amend the deadlines for dividend recipients’ reporting.

### *7.3 Refund of withheld tax*

Refunds primarily relate to owner-listed shares and investment certificates that are not covered by the VP scheme.

If the shareholder is not fully taxable in Denmark, and if there is a Double Taxation Agreement (DTA) with the country that has a tax liability, a refund can be applied for according to the applicable DTA. The refund is a reimbursement request of too much withheld dividend tax, and thus a change to that initially stated and reported.

#### *7.3.1 Refund request*

Dividend notes are sent to the shareholder a few days after a dividend distribution has been approved. Once the shareholder has received the dividend note, a refund can be requested. Refunds are made by submitting the form (06.003) and a copy of the dividend note. The foreign tax authority in the country where the shareholder is taxable must stamp the form.

According to the Interest Circular (*rentecirkulæret*), SKAT must repay the refund within one month of the request in order to avoid paying interest. However, the deadline for declaration and payment may mean that it can take up to 40 and 60 days from the time of the general meeting, respectively, for the

payment to be made. Therefore, a prompt request for refund may result in the reimbursement of dividend tax to shareholders before the withheld dividend tax is declared and paid. However, Accounting 2 often suspends the refund cases until a declaration and/or the company has made payment. Accounting 2 has stated that the problem can be solved by simultaneous declaration and reporting (advance reporting obligation).

Accounting 2 does not carry out checks on whether the investor in question is actually a shareholder in the company in question or whether the investor in question is in fact liable for tax in the foreign country. The form is reviewed by Accounting 2 to check whether all information is included. The refund is then paid.

The use of omnibus accounts means that multiple dividend notes (swift messages) are printed for each share. It is the opinion of Accounting 2 that this problem makes it difficult to check whether dividend tax is recovered more than once per year per share.

In addition, omnibus accounts and nominee accounts (accounts where persons other than the registered account holder own the deposited shares) mean that the real owners of the shares are not known, so refunds are paid without proof of ownership and actual distribution.

Accounting 2 has stated that refunds are entered in 3S, with information on name, address/bank account, amount, distributing company (CVR), bundle no. (system journal and audit trail). The base number makes it possible to follow the trail from SAP38 back to 3S and the physical form(s) in Accounting 2's archive.

Forms are physically stored in Accounting 2's archive in keyed-in bundles. There are no case files created.

### *7.3.2 The accounting scheme*

In order to reduce SKAT's consumption of resources in connection with the refund request, an agreement has been entered into between SKAT, Danske Bank, Nordea, SEB and Amagerbanken concerning the accounting scheme. Under this scheme, the banks submit spreadsheets showing which foreign customers that have had dividend tax withheld at a rate in excess of the Double Taxation Agreement between Denmark and the foreign country in question. For each customer, the identity, share, size of dividends and the desired reduction in dividend tax are stated. No refund is recorded in 3S.

The spreadsheet is electronically filed on the H-drive of the distributing company and is physically printed and filed with attachments in Accounting 2.

### *7.3.3 Accounting and disbursement of refund*

Upon receipt at Accounting 2, the form in 3S will be keyed-in for the relevant years. On this basis, a payout report is created in 3S.

The payout report is edited according to the individual submitter's requests or SKAT's choice of bundling multiple refund requests.

All refunds are manually accounted for in SAP 38.

If there are multiple payments to the same investor or financial institution, the refunds are accounted for as a disbursement amount via SAP 38. Assessment of the appropriateness of pooling amounts and the notification to the bank is made by the person processing the refund request.

Refund requests may concern dividends for several years, and if this is the case, are entered in as a single entry in SAP38 in the payment year. Dividends can be refunded for up to twenty years after the tax is withheld. From 2011, this will change to three years.

#### *7.4 IT-support of the task*

For the purpose of the dividend tax administration, 3S, a specific module, is used for the corporate tax system. In 3S, entry for all companies, reporting for unlisted companies and refunds for all companies in the relevant years are keyed-in. 3S also receives a report from the VP scheme on Danish recipients of dividends from listed and unlisted companies. For foreign recipients, information is only received from listed companies.

Citizen and Business has informed that 3S functions as a reporting module similar to other manual reporting in eCapital (*eCapital*) systems for other areas. This means that 3S forwards the keyed-in information to the module UDBY under eCapital (previously RKO) where all the information about dividend recipients are gathered for both unlisted and listed shares as well as all the investment certificates/shares in all investment organizations/companies. This occurs irrespective if it is listed/unlisted or divided into persons and companies.

At payment of refund, the stated dividend tax is noted in 3S and is paid through SAP38. There is a built-in check in 3S that notifies you if more is requested in refunds than stated in dividend tax. Accounting 2 has only faced this situation on one occasion.

Reporting of dividend recipients with withheld dividend tax from listed companies occurs electronically via VP to RKO/eCapital.

In 2008, information in 3S on dividend and dividend tax became available via Business Object. Information received in RKO/eCapital also became available in Business Object.

#### *7.5 Estimation of net proceeds from the refund scheme*

The proceeds from withholding tax on foreign shareholders cannot be calculated based on the figures in the accounting system (SAP 38), since the amounts are not separated by the year to which the refund actually relates. This means, for example, that amounts logged in 2009 may actually relate to one or more previous

years of income.

An inventory will require figures to be used to calculate the net proceeds to be selected, compiled and calculated based on SAP38, 3S, RKO /eCapital and BO. In addition, there are uncertainties in the data material in the form that refunds from the accounting scheme are not keyed-into 3S, and that refunds are logged in the payment year.

The Ministry, in collaboration with Citizen and Business as well as Accounting 2, has prepared a statement of net proceeds. It is unanimously stated that the selections are subject to great uncertainty. However, the departments do not agree on how the net proceeds should be calculated, including whether the result can be negative and whether the net proceeds can be calculated at all.

#### *7.6 Previous investigations*

Internal Audit has learned that the area of dividend tax has previously been examined on three occasions. It is not immediately apparent that there has been a follow-up to the studies below.

##### *7.6.1 Report about reduced dividend tax for foreign investors*

Copenhagen Custom and Tax Authority (*Told- og Skatteregion København*) has prepared a report (J. No. 99-5129-354) about the documentation that the account holding institutions have concerning account holders that are included in the VP scheme. The investigation included two Danish banks. The Authority concluded that the banks were presented with the correct documentation in only 37% of the cases. The Authority recommended that SKAT follow up on this report, as well as conduct an investigation of other banks.

##### *7.6.2 Memorandum on dividend administration*

On the basis of a memorandum prepared on March 16, 2005, by the then existing dividend administration and control office to SKAT's management on the dividend administration and the related problems, a working group was set up in the summer of 2006. The intent of the working group was to address the issues set out by said memorandum.

In May 2007, the working group prepared a memorandum listing the advantages and disadvantages of increasing the mandatory reporting obligation. In addition, the working group has recommended that a closer analysis be conducted on the consequences of such an increase in the reporting obligation. The memorandum was part of the chronology of issues concerning dividend tax.

Citizen and Business has informed that the report from this group led to the start-up of the project "Advance Equation" (*Fremrykket ligning*) and the subsequent "Project e-capital" (*Projekt e-kapital*) regarding the reporting part of dividend (not declarations).

Accounting 2 has stated that a lack of focus and organizational changes meant that the working group's chronology of issues did not include anything on the declaration section.

#### 7.6.3 *Audit of Dividend Administration at Ballerup Tax Center*

In 2005, Internal Audit audited the dividend administration at the Ballerup Tax Center (J. No. 05-0721-006524). In connection with this, Internal Audit concluded, e.g., that it was unsatisfactory that in certain situations it was possible for dividend recipients to receive dividend tax, even if the withheld dividend tax had not been paid to SKAT. Internal Audit also noted that the dividend administration has put a lot of effort into drawing attention to the problems that exist/have existed by e.g., preparing a chronology of issues (which was prepared for use by the above working group).

### 8. Conclusion

The purpose of the investigation has been to assess the refund scheme in connection with too much withheld dividend tax, including the calculation of SKAT's proceeds from the withholding tax on foreign shareholders.

Based on this, Internal Audit finds that

- that an approximate correct net proceeds from the refund scheme can only be calculated with great uncertainty from the information available in SKAT's dividend and refund system, as a calculation of the proceeds from the withholding tax on foreign shareholders will require that figures be selected, compiled and calculated based on SAP38, 3S, RKO and BO. In addition, there are uncertainties in the data material;
- SKAT lacks reporting/information to be able to calculate the correct net proceeds from the refund scheme, since refunds via the accounting scheme is not keyed-into 3S, which makes the data material incomplete;
- Business processes for registration, collection and processing of dividend tax have been documented, but have not been fully updated;
- accounting and IT systems do not adequately support the correct calculation of net proceeds from the refund scheme. The reason for this is that refunds can be recovered for up to 20 years, and accounting in SAP38 of refunds takes place during the payment year. Reporting of dividend recipients is stored in RKO/eCapital or 3S depending on the share/type of security and the shareholder's tax status.

Internal Audit further finds that

- SKAT has not established an actual process for managing the total reports to be used in the calculation of the net proceeds, depending on the nature of the share/security being reported either to 3S or RKO /eCapital;
- no reconciliation is made between the dividends declared by the companies and the dividends reported to the dividend recipients. It is also not possible to check whether the dividend tax paid by the companies also corresponds to the dividend tax declared by dividend recipients. The reconciliation problem is also affected by the time lag of almost one year between declaration and reporting;
- dividend tax can be refunded before the tax is paid/reported to SKAT;
- the use of Omnibus accounts means that several dividend notes are printed (SWIFT messages) for a single share. There is no check as to whether dividend tax is requested more than once per share;
- there are no checks in connection with refund requests as to whether the investor is actually a shareholder and whether the investor is in fact liable for tax in Denmark or not;
- it does not appear that the previous investigations initiated by SKAT have been followed up on.

## **9. Recommendation**

On the basis of the above conclusion, Internal Audit recommends that

- a central management is established for the entire process of dealing with dividend taxation;
- a control environment is established to ensure consistency between declaration and reporting;
- a re-assessment of the previously established working group's chronology of issues for dividend tax.


## **10. Consultation with the audited entities**

Draft report has been provided to the audited entities for comments.

After reviewing the received comments, Internal Audit has removed one of its conclusions.

The Corporate Center (*Koncerncentret*), Legal (*Jura*) and Social Economics (*Samfundsøkonomi*) did not comment on the report.

Comments from Accounting 2 as well as Citizen and Business of a factual nature have been given continuously under Sections 1-7. Consultation responses are given in Appendix 4.




Mads Blokhus




Bo Daugaard

## Process description for dividend tax




## Appendix 2

## Description of Omnibus Account




## Timeline of dividend tax before 2010

### Appendix 3a



## Appendix 3b

## Timeline of dividend tax after 2010



**THE MINISTRY OF TAXATION****Appendix 4****Internal Audit**

May 10, 2010

**Consultation response**

*Accounting 2 has the following comments to the report:*

*Comments to the report*

To Section 7.2.4, Accounting 2 states that no reconciliation is made between declaration and reporting. Therefore, a missing declaration (and payment) will not be detected if only dividend recipients have been reported. And the reporting entails crediting of dividend tax.

For the approximate 2 million shareholders in listed companies, the reporting of dividend recipients does not allow for reconsolidation with the distributing company's declaration.

To Section 8, Conclusion, regarding the lack of reconsolidation of refunds, Accounting 2 states that it is not possible to separate foreign shareholders' dividends before refunds in 3S because foreign shareholders get 28% of dividend tax withheld, which is also the general rule.

*Want to resolve the inadequacies*

With the 2010 spring package, it is possible that the deadline to report dividend recipients will coincide with the deadline to declare and pay dividend tax. This possibility is expected to be used. If this coincidence is combined with an electronic reporting scheme of dividend declaration/reporting of dividend recipients, an optimal solution will be achieved in this area. This can thereby save a form based business process, as well solve the reconciliation process, which is currently lacking.

The VP scheme is broadened to include all countries that Denmark has a Double Taxation Agreement with and to all shareholders, both natural and legal persons. This will solve the problem of reconciliating refunds with withheld dividend tax. At the same time, it is ensured that each share is only given a reduction once, where in principle refunds can be made for the same share in several stages.

To be included in the VP scheme, foreign shareholders are obligated to be reported as shareholders. The financial institutions that are responsible for the deposits, guarantee the accuracy of the special tax status noted on the deposits.

*Follow-up on the dividend administration*

As a comment to the audit's conclusion regarding follow-up to previous studies and the comment in section 7.6.2 regarding the lack of focus, it is stated that the dividend tax administration/Accounting 2 has taken several *steps* towards and together with HC and others since 2003.

Summary overview:

2003

Extension of the VP scheme.

2004

Setting up a working group to find responsible office in HC.

Setting up of a working group to resume use of 3S.

2005

Continued work with use of 3S.

Setting up a working group on change in deadline for reporting dividend recipients.

2006

Contact HC for lack of reconciliation between declaration and crediting of dividend recipients.

In connection with the establishment of the Competence Center Dividend and Royalty Tax (*kompetencecenter Udbytte- og Royaltskat*), an internal communication was sent on November 30, 2006, which contained as Appendix 3, a list of dividend tax and the pressing challenges that needed to be resolved.

2007

Refunds and related deadlines.

Development of the VP scheme together with the Fiscal Council (*Finansrådet*).

2008

Included in Project Projected Equation (*Prosjekt Fremrykket Ligning*) - projected reporting of dividend recipients.

Meeting with the Ministry  
(which resulted in legislative changes).

Proposed rapid digitalization of dividend administration.

2009

Adopted new legal basis for the reporting deadline, authority to the Minister.

2010

Proposed abovementioned proposal for harmonization of declaration/reporting deadlines and digitalized reporting system of dividend declaration/reporting.

*Citizen and Business has the following comments to the report:*

*Comments to the report:*

Distributions from investment funds are not reported through 3S, even if unlisted. This applies, as of 2010, to the unlisted investment firms.

The keyed-in entries through 3S (after the change in L201) include only unlisted Danish shares that are not registered with VP. However, the unregistered unlisted companies can report electronically (TP reporting or tapes, cassette, etc.) outside 3S and directly to the subsystem UDBY.

Foreign dividend recipients are reported regardless of whether the distribution originates from listed or unlisted shares/certificates.

BO is a duplicate registration of information reported under the mandatory reporting obligations and is therefore not an independent register.

Section 7.2.3 subsequent corrections only deal with the reporting made by the account holders. If the company, which has a reporting obligation, corrects itself, it will also be able to correct the declaration, as the company itself is responsible for both.

In six paragraphs of Section 7.2.4, a study is discussed, which presents three listed companies that do not have any dividend recipient reporting. Citizens and Business have difficulty in believing that this is true, since the report comes from the account holders and not from the company itself. It would be a coincidence if there were no additional recipients of dividends and if they all would have the same account holding institution.

If the study referred to only includes the administrative reporting in the VP scheme, which Citizen and Business supposes, then the study may be misleading, as reporting on dividend recipients (regardless of VP scheme or not) will be included in the electronic capital gains registry (*e-Kapitalindkomstregistret*) UDBY or IFPA.

Section 7.2.4, third last paragraph, states that Citizen and Business are of the opinion that it is possible to make selections across the systems so that an approximate reconciliation can occur. This is true for the unlisted, as there is a connection between the declaration and the dividend recipient-reporting obligation, in that, as you and the dividend administration state, both the declaration and reporting for the unlisted are in most cases submitted at the same time. Citizens and Business still believe this is so.

For those listed, this is only possible once the amendment (L201) comes into effect in 2010. However, the optimum reconciliation can only be established once simultaneous reporting is introduced hopefully in 2011. Citizen and Business have stated the aforementioned several times during our meetings, and it would be unfair to write the text as presented.

For Appendix 1, it is noted that all reports are collected (listed/unlisted and investment funds/companies using the same entry in the eCapital sub-entry system). If the information is correct, it cannot be shared immediately in Danish or non-Danish, because if there is a Danish identification for the non-Danish, these are forwarded in the same way as the Danish, which means that they come to R75, the tax file or are included in the annual report if it is shown that the foreign exchange information was incorrect and the person, for example, is fully taxable. In addition, the following is stated:

- the rejection is sent to the party with the reporting obligation (the account holding/custodian or company).
- that foreign currencies, regardless of Danish identification, are exchanged abroad under the EU savings directive (*EU-rentebeskattningsdirektivet*) or under a DBO agreement, if the information is covered by them.

For Appendix 3, it is noted that there are some factual misstatements. Partially concerning the definition of listed and unlisted versus reporting rules according to SKL, and partially concerning the deadline for reporting to SKAT. It is not the last deadline for reporting to SKAT, it is a timely deadline for reporting to SKAT after SKL. Reporting to SKAT can always be made, but electronically only up to and including July 1 of the income year (recipient's income year) + 2 (2010 rules). For 2009 and previously, the deadline was October 1 of the year following the income year. Following this, Internal Audit has divided the regulatory framework for before and after 2010 in Appendix 3.

Finally, it is stated that the VP scheme (the administrative reporting reported to Ballerup) does not come into e-Capital as listed in Appendix 3.

#### *Initiatives in the area*

Citizen and Business has informed that a number of initiatives have been taken in this area, including:

2009:

Report on income year 2009 (dividend recipients).

A mandatory reporting obligation of CVR/SE No. and name for the issuing investment fund etc., is introduced (before it was voluntary).

Through the e-Capital project, the focus is on everyone fulfilling the required reporting duty.

A compulsory reporting obligation on the custodian code (investment funds, etc.) is introduced. I.e., shares/certificates held in custodian accounts for pension plans are no longer exempt from reporting obligations.

2010:

Report on income year 2010 (dividend recipient)

The definition of listed and unlisted listed companies is generally changed to trading on a regulated market, or not being admitted to trade on a regulated market.

The changed definition means that several of the previously unlisted shares will be reported electronically via the account-holding institutions. This means that the reports from the companies themselves are limited and ensures an improved and more satisfactory reporting.

A mandatory reporting duty by CVR/SE Number is imposed on the distributing company (listed), etc.

A mandatory reporting obligation for the custodian code (shares, etc.) is introduced. I.e., shares in custodian accounts for pension plans are no longer exempt from reporting obligations.

The five percent rule on exemption from reporting obligation is repealed and distribution recipient reporting becomes mandatory.

Opening hours are extended for IT reporting to July 1 of the income year + 2.

2011:

A simultaneous-reporting obligation on distributed dividends for both persons and companies is imposed.

The final section of the conclusion seems unfair given the changes that SKAT has made with L201. More can be done, but with these changes, as well as a reporting reconciliation through 3S and UDBY, a major breakthrough has been made in this area.

In addition, it is Citizen and Business' opinion that considering the simultaneous reporting system corrections and the legal basis for the reports 2009-2011, significant initiatives have been taken to support reconciliation and reporting. Nevertheless, it is believed that the following solutions can be relevant:

- Reconciliations - for example, a stronger reporting check of the source basis (company/custodian account registration) would be a good solution.
- The introduction of a directive that makes the various accounting institutions responsible for the correct administration/reporting of dividend tax. A directive that corresponds to the directive in section 65 of the Withholding Tax Act regarding liability for the use/administration of exemptions.
- Reporting of adviser (power of attorney) to the individual custodians, in order to know who has the actual ownership of the shares (Transparency of the custodian account).

- Changed customer registration and ownership reporting so that a number of the existing ownership status codes are further split up. For example, for the foreign ownership relationship, the sole owner person, sole owner company, more than two owners, and administrator.
- IT - support for the entire exemption procedure (issuing, registering) and presentation similar to the query on dividend withholding percentage.
- A notification mark for the person being included in the so-called "VP scheme."

With the tax reform, it was possible to take various systemic initiatives that would improve the reporting of the dividend recipients, and that could also pave the way for a better reconciliation of distributions from listed, unlisted and investment fund certificates, etc.